

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/640,553	08/13/2003	Randal Alan Stevens	7173/118	8361
	7590 05/07/200 MERON & HUEBSCH	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLI	ET AVENUE, SUITE	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/640,553	STEVENS, RANDAL ALAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mathieu D. Vargot	1791	

	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding entension for he fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo     (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett     appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	E below); ducing or simplifying the						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) }\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.								
	/Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner Art U	nit 1791						

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant submits that Widmer does not teach each and every limitation of the claims. However, if it did, the rejection would be based on 102, not 103. Obviousness does not require anticipation, but merely what one of ordinary skill in the art would have considered to be a reasonable modification of what is taught. In the instant case, processing the data to form a desired mold- of whatever desired size- is simply believed to have been obvious over the disclosure of Widmer without a reference teaching the exact dimensions being used to make the mold. Ie, one of ordinary skill in this art would be able to pick respective dimensions needed to make the mold the desired size.